United States District Court Southern District Of New York

Arthur Angermeir, Nitesh Bhagwanani, Ken Elder, Becky D. Glynn, Rajat Goyal, Kristina Greene, Louis Marrou, Marilyn Murrell, and Dr. Shiva Nancy Shabnam,

No. 12 cv 0055 (KMK)

Plaintiffs

v.

Jay Cohen, Sara Krieger, Jennifer Centeno, Louis
Cucinotta, Ricardo Brown, Robert Taylor, Joseph I.
Sussman, Joseph I. Sussman, P.C., Lease Finance
Group, LLC, MBF Leasing LLC, and Northern Leasing }
Systems, Inc.,

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS

Defendants

Plaintiffs Mr. Arthur Angermeir, Mr. Nitesh Bhagwanani, Mr. Ken Elder, Ms. Becky D. Glynn, Mr. Rajat Goyal, Ms. Kristina Greene, Mr. Louis Marrou, Ms. Marilyn Murrell, and Dr. Shiva Nancy Shabnam, by their attorneys Chittur & Associates, P.C., hereby submit the following Answer to the Counterclaims, dated May 23, 2014.

- 1. Plaintiffs are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Counterclaims.
- 2. Mr. Angermeir denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 3 11 of the Counterclaims.
- 3. Mr. Bhagwanani denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 12 20 of the Counterclaims.
- 4. Mr. Elder denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 21 29 of the Counterclaims.

- 5. Ms. Greene denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 30 38 of the Counterclaims.
- 6. Ms. Murrell denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 39 48 of the Counterclaims.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

7. The Counterclaims fail to state a cause of action against Plaintiffs upon which relief can be granted, and Defendants NLS, MBF, and LFG do not have standing to sue.

Second Affirmative Defense

8. Plaintiffs are not the cause of, or responsible for, any damages allegedly sustained by Defendants NLS, MBF, and LFG.

Third Affirmative Defense

9. The Complaint ought to be dismissed for failure to join necessary parties.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

10. Defendants NLS's, MBF's, and LFG's purported claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands, laches, waiver, and estoppel.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

11. Defendants NLS, MBF, and LFG's purported counterclaims, in whole or in part, were brought in an untimely manner and therefore barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

12. Defendants NLS, MBF, and LFG failed to mitigate or otherwise act to lessen or reduce the damages alleged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants NLS, MBF, and LFG dismissing Counterclaims with prejudice, together with an award of attorneys' fees and all expenses incurred on account of this litigation; and for such further and other reliefs as may be just and proper.

Dated: Ossining, New York May 29, 2014

Chittur & Associates, P.C.

Sd/

By: Krishnan Chittur, Esq. (KC9258) Central Westchester Business Park 500 Executive Blvd., Suite 305 Ossining, NY 10562 Tel. (914) 944-4400

Attorneys for Plaintiffs